

Part of the Western Australian Telehealth Project was supported by the Commonwealth through the Networking the Nation Program of the Department of Information, Technology and the Arts; the Health Department of Western Australia; and the RPH.

**Financial Disclosure:** The authors state none to having any financial disclosures to make in relation to this paper.

**Author Contributions:** Poh-Kooi Loh developed the study concept and design, supervised data collection, analyzed and interpreted data, and prepared the manuscript. Parthasarathy Ramesh collected data, performed the literature search, and prepared the manuscript. Leon Flicker refined the study concept and design, developed analysis and interpretation, and supervised manuscript preparation. Jane Saligari and Sean Maher collected data and prepared the manuscript. Peter Goldswain prepared the funding proposal, collected data, and prepared the manuscript.

**Sponsor's Role:** Sponsors were not involved in the design, methods, subject recruitment, data collections, or analysis and preparation of this paper.

## REFERENCES

1. Telehealth WA2001. How Telehealth Will Benefit You [on-line]. Health Department of Western Australia. Available at [www.intranet.health.wa.gov.au/telehealth/professionals/index/index.cfm](http://www.intranet.health.wa.gov.au/telehealth/professionals/index/index.cfm) Accessed January 5, 2001.
2. Bossuyt PM, Reitsma JB, Bruns DE et al. Towards complete and accurate reporting of studies of diagnostic accuracy: The STARD Initiative. Standards for Reporting of Diagnostic Accuracy. *Clin Chem* 2003;49:1-6.
3. Molloy WD, Clarnette R. Standardised Mini-mental State Examination (SMMSE): A User's Guide. Troy, Ontario, Canada: New Grange Press, 1999.
4. Sheikh JI, Yesavage JA. Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS). Recent evidence and development of a shorter version. *Clin Gerontol* 1986;5:165-173.
5. Katz S, Ford AB, Moskowitz RW et al. Studies of illness in the aged The Index of the ADL. A standardized measure of biological and psychosocial function. *JAMA* 1963;185:914-919.
6. Instrumental ADL Multidimensional Functional Assessment: The OARS Methodology. Durham, NC: Duke University, 1978.
7. Jorm AF. A short form of the Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly (IQCODE). Development and cross-validation. *Psychol Med* 1994;4:145-153.
8. Lee JH, Kim JH, Jhoo JH et al. A Telemedicine system as care modality for dementia patients in Korea. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord* 2000;14:94-101.
9. Rigby M, Forsstrom J, Roberts R et al. Verifying quality and safety in health informatics services. *BMJ* 2001;323:552-556.
10. Williams ME. Geriatric medicine on the information superhighway: Opportunity or road kill? *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1995;43:184-186.

## A COMPARISON OF GAIT ASSESSMENT METHODS: TINETTI AND GAITRITE ELECTRONIC WALKWAY

*To the Editor:* Gait and self-selected walking speed have been shown to be reliably responsive to a variety of disorders<sup>1-3</sup> as well as an indicator of overall health and well-being of individuals.<sup>4,5</sup> Numerous scales have been developed to assess gait. One frequently used measure, the Tinetti scale,<sup>5</sup> requires subjects to walk twice, once at the "usual" pace and once at a "rapid but safe" pace while aspects of gait such as step symmetry, step continuity, and path are observed. Scores range from 0 to 12, based on the reviewer's subjective assessment. Although the Tinetti scale has been shown to be reliable in normal populations,<sup>6</sup> and one study found fair to good interrater reliability of the Tinetti balance portion across raters with differing degrees

of experience,<sup>7</sup> there appear to be no studies that have investigated inter- or intrarater reliability of the gait portion or reliability as a measure of change over time in populations with gait disorders. As with other subjective measurements, it may be less sensitive when used for detection of small but clinically relevant changes. Indeed, sensitivity was found to be inadequate for detecting change in patients with Parkinson's disease.<sup>8</sup>

In an institutional review board-approved protocol, 19 subjects recruited for evaluation of possible normal pressure hydrocephalus (NPH) were studied at baseline (n = 19) and after shunt surgery (n = 9). Gait disturbance is part of a triad of symptoms of NPH that includes urinary incontinence and dementia. Each subject was assessed by a single physician using the Tinetti scoring system, and then by the GAITRite Portable Walkway System (CIR Systems, Inc., Havertown, PA), which automates the acquisition, analysis, and reporting of the objective parameters of gait as the subject walks down the 1-by-5 meter walkway with embedded sensors.

The Tinetti scoring system was compared with the automated system. The GAITRite variables analyzed for this report were self-selected walking speed (velocity) and functional ambulatory performance (FAP). Linear regression analyses were performed using SPSS version 12.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

For all subjects at baseline (n = 19), the Pearson correlation coefficient (*r*) was 0.78 (*P* < .001) for Tinetti gait score and FAP and 0.72 (*P* = .001) for Tinetti gait score and velocity. For the subgroup that later had a shunt (n = 9), at baseline, *r* was 0.82 (*P* = .004) for Tinetti gait score and FAP and 0.68 (*P* = .03) for Tinetti gait score and velocity. Correlation between Tinetti gait score and FAP remained significant after shunt surgery (*r* = 0.67, *P* = .03), but correlation between Tinetti and velocity did not (*r* = 0.59, *P* = .07). Correlation between change in Tinetti gait score and change in FAP was 0.82 (*P* = .007), and correlation between change in Tinetti gait score and change in velocity was 0.67 (*P* = .047).

The effect size of the correlations at baseline (*R*<sup>2</sup>) suggests that the Tinetti scores can explain approximately two-thirds of the variance in GAITRite FAP scores and approximately half the variance in self-selected walking speed. This provides evidence of a strong relationship between a subjective measure of gait and a quantitative one, and supports the use of the Tinetti scoring system for initial assessment of gait in the evaluation of NPH. However the reduction of the effect size of the correlations after shunt surgery suggests that the Tinetti gait score may not be as sensitive as the GAITRite in detecting change or that there may be a ceiling effect with the Tinetti score (Table 1). For example, subject 17 received the maximum score of 12 on the Tinetti gait test at baseline and at postshunt evaluation. When scored electronically, baseline FAP score was 81 out of a possible 100. After shunting, GAITRite showed a 36.4% increase in velocity and a 22.2% increase in FAP. Other subjects also showed significant discrepancies in the percentage of change in scores between Tinetti and GAITRite. The ability to identify and quantify such changes may be useful to clinicians, especially when such changes are used to assess response to therapy or to make therapeutic decisions, as with NPH.

**Table 1. Baseline and Postshunt Scores**

Subject ID	Tinetti Gait Baseline (Range 0–12)	GAITRite FAP Baseline (Range 0–100)	GAITRite Velocity* Baseline	Tinetti Gait Postshunt	GAITRite FAP Postshunt	GAITRite Velocity* Postshunt
3	5	63	45	10	88	56.7
4	9	51	30.1	11	83	45.9
6	6	45	12.9	12	99	76.9
7	9	78	40.3	12	99	58.3
8	10	65	34.4	12	86	47.1
16	7	55	12.5	11	93	50.1
17	12	81	46.1	12	99	62.9
18	4	47	20.9	12	86	53.1
20	12	87	51.2	10	88	51.5

\*Velocity in meters per minute, not adjusted for height.

FAP = functional ambulatory performance.

These data support the use of the Tinetti system as a screening tool for gait impairment in NPH. They also suggest that it may be acceptable as a “rough” system to identify changes in gait, especially if gait was significantly impaired at the outset. The small sample size limits the conclusion; more studies are needed before any final determination can be made. Nevertheless, although the Tinetti system was useful to identify some changes in overall gait, it appears that the GAITRite system more finely discriminates alterations in gait.

*Wendy S. Shore, PhD*  
*Barbara J. deLateur, MD*  
*Keith V. Kuhlemeier, PhD*  
*Hejab Imteyez, MPH*  
*Gregory Rose, MS*  
*Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*  
*Michael A. Williams, MD*  
*Department of Neurology*  
*The Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions*  
*Baltimore, MD*

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

**Financial Disclosure:** The NPH study was supported by an unrestricted grant from Medtronic. None of the authors have any financial relationship with or hold any patents relating to any device or system mentioned in this paper.

**Author Contributions:** Wendy Shore: conception of study, acquisition of data, data analysis and interpreta-

tion, and preparation of manuscript. Michael A. Williams: acquisition of subjects, acquisition of data, and preparation of manuscript. Keith Kuhlemeier: data analysis and interpretation and preparation of manuscript. Hejab Imteyez: acquisition of data and preparation of manuscript. Gregory Rose: acquisition of data and data analysis. Barbara deLateur: conception of study, data analysis and interpretation, and preparation of manuscript.

**Sponsor's Role:** None.

#### REFERENCES

1. da Cunha-Filho IT, Henson H, Wankadia S et al. Reliability of measures of gait performance and oxygen consumption with stroke survivors. *J Rehabil Res Dev* 2003;40:19–25.
2. Tanaka A, Okuzumi H, Kobayashi I et al. Gait disturbance of patients with vascular and Alzheimer-type dementias. *Percept Mot Skills* 1995;80:735–738.
3. Rostagno C, Olivo G, Comeglio M et al. Prognostic value of 6-minute walk corridor test in patients with mild to moderate heart failure: Comparison with other methods of functional evaluation. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2003;5:247–252.
4. Reuben DB, Siu AL, Kimpau S. The predictive validity of self-report and performance-based measures of function and health. *J Gerontol* 1992;47:M106–M110.
5. Tinetti ME. Performance-oriented assessment of mobility problems in elderly patients. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1986;34:119–126.
6. Guralnik JM, Seeman TE, Tinetti ME et al. Validation and use of performance measures of functioning in a non-disabled older population: MacArthur studies of successful aging. *Aging (Milano)* 1994;6:410–419.
7. Cipriany-Dacko LM, Innerst D, Johannsen J et al. Interrater reliability of the Tinetti Balance Scores in novice and experienced physical therapy clinicians. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1997;78:1160–1164.
8. Behrman AL, Light KE, Miller GM. Sensitivity of the Tinetti Gait Assessment for detecting change in individuals with Parkinson's disease. *Clin Rehabil* 2002;16:399–405.