

Response to Reviewer Comments

Reviewer Comment	Response
Page 3 Line 39-43 “Rephrase”	The sentence has been clarified and rephrased to read: “The speed of information technology advances in health care, as well as our increasing reliance on big-data, make this lack of recent literature an especially significant gap.”
Page 5 Line 25 “in the form (of) a formal report”	The typo has been corrected.
Page 5 Line 32 “change to searched”	The word “queried” has been updated to “searched”
Page 6 Line 18	Author initials have been removed
Page 7 Line 11	Author initials have been removed
Page 7 line 13 “change to (with)”	“to” has been changed to “with”
Page 7 line 16 “changed to needed”	“need” has been changed to “needed”
Page 9 line 16 “improve (the) quality”	The word “the” has been added to the sentence”
Page 9 line 32 “need to correct grammar”	Thank you, the sentence has been updated to read “However, these types of manual audits are labor-intensive and antiquated, while only producing only modest gains.”
Page 10 line 8 “check grammar”	This sentence has been corrected
Page 10 line 41 “The grammar can be improved...”	Thank you, this sentence has been updated to be more clear
Page 12 Line 8 “either clinical practice...”	The word “clinically” has been changed to “clinical”
Page 19 Table 2	Table 2 was uploaded without a title and is displaying after “table 3.” Both of these errors have been corrected
Page 19 “Considering including a legend”	Thank you for this suggestion, a legend has been included in the footer of the table
Linking evidence to action	A linking evidence to action section has been added to the abstract and as a table in the discussion section.
“It will be important to make sure that you clearly describe how your paper adds to what is already known in the literature”	Information was added to the abstract, introduction, aim and conclusion to address what this paper adds to what is already known in the literature