Will Osseointegration Change the Future of Prosthetics?

It has been projected that more than 2.2 million Americans will be living with limb loss in 2020.* Jonathan Forsberg, associate professor of orthopaedic surgery in the Johns Hopkins Division of Orthopaedic Oncology, is helping to introduce alternative types of prostheses for these patients.

Forsberg’s current research focuses on osseointegrated implants, which attach directly to the residual limb’s bone, eliminating the need for traditional, socket-based prostheses. “Our soft tissues were not meant to bear weight,” Forsberg says, noting the shortcomings of traditional prostheses. “Patients can develop ulcerations, perspiration may disrupt the interface between skin and socket, and if the length of the residual limb is shorter, patients may have less control over the limb.” Osseointegration can greatly improve quality of life by “increasing the amount of time patients wear a prosthesis throughout the day and enabling osseoproprioception (knowing where the limb is without looking at it) and osseoperception (‘feeling’ the differences between surfaces),” says Forsberg.

Osseointegrated limbs can also accommodate advanced prosthetic components, such as the Johns Hopkins Applied Physics Laboratory’s Modular Prosthetic Limb, which uses pressure sensors embedded in the fingertips of the prosthetic hand to provide artificial sensory feedback.

“Osseointegration is a complex process that requires a long rehabilitation period and a multidisciplinary team, including orthopaedic and plastic surgeons, prosthetists, and rehabilitation and mental health specialists,” Forsberg explains. As a large, collaborative academic institution, Johns Hopkins can provide every aspect of the care needed for these patients. Education is particularly important, given that osseointegration patients have a lifelong risk of infection.

“Osseointegration as an orthopaedic field is still in its infancy,” Forsberg notes. “Several companies worldwide are developing osseointegrated implants, but only one of them has data beyond 10 years. Given the unique challenges, there are many areas that are ripe for research, such as the ideal patient population, ideal implant types for various bony anatomy, monitoring of bone health and the skin penetration site, and evaluating the ethics and costs associated with osseointegration.”

To address these questions, Johns Hopkins will participate in an international registry to collect inclusion criteria, surgical information and outcomes data on osseointegrated implants at institutions worldwide. The registry will also improve transparency in the field of osseointegration by helping to identify implant designs at risk for early failure. Participants will be able to respond to questions from the Food and Drug Administration, which was involved in registry development, and from other investigators, as the field moves from first-generation prostheses to subsequent iterations.

“Once we address the ideal patient population and ideal implant types,” Forsberg says, “we can turn our focus to other areas of research — in particular, deciding how best to convey the signals from the patient’s own nerves and muscles to a robotic limb. I’m very excited to continue to develop this project at Johns Hopkins.”

NEW MULTIMODAL APPROACH

Better Blood Management Improves Patient Outcomes After Joint Replacement

knowing that infection is one of the most devastating complications for patients undergoing hip or knee replacement, Harpal Khanuja, Johns Hopkins associate professor of orthopaedic surgery, makes it his mission to address the issue through a multimodal approach to blood management.

Blood transfusions can increase the risk of adverse outcomes, delay rehabilitation and extend a patient’s hospital stay, so limiting blood loss is crucial. “Transfusions have inherent risks,” says Khanuja. “There is the potential for complications, including infection and associated comorbidities.”

The multimodal blood management approach instituted at Johns Hopkins involves treating patients who are hypotensive postoperatively with fluids first, then blood products as needed, using antifibrinolytic agents such as tranexamic acid, and lowering the restrictive threshold for transfusions from <10 g/dL to <7 g/dL hemoglobin in hemodynamically stable patients. In a study of patients with hip fractures, Khanuja found the new restrictive threshold of <7 g/dL was associated with the same or better outcomes compared with a threshold of <8 g/dL. Overall, the transfusion rate for joint replacements at Johns Hopkins dropped from 20% to 2%. This approach lowered infection rates and had the additional benefits of decreasing hospital costs and conserving blood.

With such progress, Khanuja says transfusion is no longer the primary concern in blood management. The new focus is on the extent of anemia after surgery and how anemia affects patient recovery. “Now most joint replacements are outpatient procedures in a hospital setting. We are trying to get patients up the same day and get them moving, so anemia is the better indicator of patient outcomes,” says Khanuja. “We need to think of anemia in terms of how a patient feels postoperatively. How much energy do they have? How much better can we make them feel?”

Patient health optimization is a key component to addressing the effects of anemia. “Our department policy is to have all anemia examined if levels are not within a healthy range before surgery,” says Khanuja. Typically, the primary physician would clear a patient for surgery who is slightly anemic because this low level is considered “the patient’s normal.” Khanuja encourages orthopaedic surgeons to question that assumption. “If a patient is slightly anemic, I’m wondering if they have an underlying condition that could be exacerbated with surgery,” says Khanuja. “They could have a small bleeding ulcer made worse by the anti-inflammatories or blood thinners prescribed postoperatively. There are many reasons to address the anemia.”

The same vigilance for anemia risks is applied to other risk factors as well, through diabetes control, weight loss, smoking cessation and nutritional screening. “We want to give patients the best outcome,” says Khanuja. “That is something that is important to us, and that we will always be on top of at Hopkins.”

HIGHER SKILLED EXPERTISE

Endoscopic Spine Surgery: Rare Minimally Invasive Approach Now Available at Johns Hopkins

urgeons in the Johns Hopkins Department of Orthopaedic Surgery’s spine division are now providing minimally invasive endoscopic surgery, which is used frequently in other specialties but is uncommon in spine surgery. Sang Lee, assistant professor of orthopaedic surgery and director of complex cervical spine and spine tumor surgery, is leading implementation of this approach, which the department began to offer over the last several months.

“Endoscopic spine surgery has been historically more common in Asia and is recently gaining popularity in the United States. However, it is mainly performed by private surgeons, not academic practices,” Lee says. He hopes to popularize endoscopic spine surgery among academic practices in the U.S. because of its many benefits. “It is the least invasive surgery and
Orthopaedic Surgeon’s Ethics
Inspire Gifts for Bioethics Research

Sometimes, deciding when not to have surgery is just as important as deciding when to have surgery. No one understands this better than Casey Humbyrd, chief of the Foot and Ankle Division, and assistant professor of orthopaedic surgery and associate faculty member at the Johns Hopkins Berman Institute of Bioethics. Humbyrd researches surgery, ethics and decision-making, and she brings this expertise to her patients like Stuart and Suzanne Drake. For the Drakes, Humbyrd’s guidance was instrumental in their own decision-making, and it inspired them to support the surgeon’s bioethics research.

“It’s very important to support old-fashioned medical ethics, which begins with understanding the patient,” says Stuart. “Dressed up as it may be in the new discipline of bioethics, Dr. Humbyrd epitomizes it by the way she handles people who are in pain and who have uncertainty about the best course of treatment.” He says Humbyrd’s approach recalls the medical ethics of his uncle, who, in the 1940s, was one of the first board-certified pediatricians in Southwestern Virginia. “She is the closest that I have found to a physician with the same type of empathy that I saw in my uncle.”

When Stuart’s wife started having tremendous pain in her foot, they made an appointment with Humbyrd. During the visit, they discussed the surgical options. “Dr. Humbyrd put my wife’s dilemma about whether to have surgery, which would have involved three to six months off her feet, in the context of our personal situation,” says Stuart. “She understood and related to us. When somebody is in pain, that attitude is enormously reassuring.”

The Drakes and Humbyrd discussed the bioethics involved in operating on a foot that may not need it. Humbyrd says surgeons have an ethical responsibility to adhere to the principle of nonmaleficence or “do no harm,” which is a key tenet of bioethics. A decision about surgery is often complex, but a surgeon who is well trained in bioethics can present the nuances of the options to help a patient make the best choice. “That was a fascinating discussion,” says Stuart. “We became very fond of Dr. Humbyrd through that conversation.”

Humbyrd’s research currently includes the ethics of new payment models for total joint replacement and their potential effects on access to care for vulnerable patient populations. Future research will consider ethical issues related to limb-threatening injuries — issues such as whether to reconstruct or amputate an injured limb, understanding the attitudes of orthopaedic surgeons about ethical issues and examining the ethics of opioid prescribing.

This year, Humbyrd is running a nationwide symposium on ethical considerations when prescribing opioids after orthopaedic surgery. “Through my work as a delegate to the American Medical Association and my recent appointment to the board of the American Orthopaedic Foot & Ankle Society,” she says, “I have a national platform to help promote ethical care in orthopaedic surgery, especially with regard to opioid prescribing.”

Donations like those from the Drakes help make research possible, and improve patient care and strengthen the health care system.

“Outcomes are similar to traditional open surgeries, but there are quicker recovery rates, shorter hospitalization times, and it is the better option cosmetically.”

— Sang Lee
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