Surgeon Expands Patellofemoral Research, Women’s Sports Medicine

Miho Tanaka understands the complexities of multifaceted treatment needed to keep women athletes in the game. A former varsity track and field athlete at Stanford University, she is now an assistant professor of orthopaedic surgery and director of the Women’s Sports Medicine Program at The Johns Hopkins Hospital.

Inspired by Andrew Cosgarea, her mentor and colleague in orthopaedic surgery who taught her the value of multidisciplinary collaboration, Tanaka has broadened the field of knowledge in patellofemoral research and established a unique network of medical professionals to spark cultural exchange across continents regarding women’s sports medicine.

Tanaka’s award-winning work has contributed to the advancement of anatomic knowledge of the medial patellofemoral complex (MPFC), which many surgeons refer to as the medial patellofemoral ligament. Tanaka and her co-author, John Fulkerson, a leader in patellofemoral surgery, coined MPFC as a more accurate term reflecting the variability in anatomic attachment points.

“The traveling fellowship taught me the importance of academic cross-cultural exchange, so I am hoping to generate interest in gender research and expand the model of our program for female athletes to an international level.”

–Miho Tanaka

“The beauty of this machine,” says Tanaka, “is that you can bend the knee and watch the tracking of the patella, because it is when the knee is in motion that dislocation happens.” Tanaka and Cosgarea recently described a classification system for dynamic CT tracking to predict the presence of symptoms, thereby laying the groundwork as technology for dynamic CT becomes more widely available.

At Johns Hopkins, Tanaka designed the model for the Women’s Sports Medicine Program that includes areas not traditionally associated with women’s sports, such as nutrition and pregnancy. “I see a lot of women athletes who are seeking second opinions and who have been told that they should stop being active,” Tanaka says.

To bridge the disparities in treatment, Tanaka brought together specialists from nine divisions and departments at Hopkins, including faculty from obstetrics and endocrinology, and specialists in concussions and eating disorders. The program acknowledges the connections between issues such as nutrition, performance and healing.

Recently, Tanaka was invited back to Kobe, Japan, where she toured during her American Orthopaedic Society for Sports Medicine traveling fellowship, to conduct Grand Rounds on women’s sports medicine. “Asian countries do not have many female orthopaedic surgeons or women’s sports programs,” says Tanaka. “The traveling fellowship taught me the importance of academic cross-cultural exchange, so I am hoping to generate interest in gender research and expand the model of our program for female athletes to an international level.”
Greg Osgood is working to integrate visual, fluoroscopic and CT data all in one image.

**Head-mounted Displays Ease Pelvic Operations**

I am passionate about making pelvic surgery easier for the surgeon,” says Greg Osgood, chief of orthopaedic trauma in the Johns Hopkins Department of Orthopaedic Surgery. Osgood believes that head-mounted displays using three-dimensional imaging data can help surgeons improve imaging and operative techniques, minimize incisions and reduce the complexity of surgery.

“Making the procedure more technology-based helps us provide patients with the same or better outcomes, but in an easier surgical manner,” says Osgood. A recent study using this technology in a simulation of percutaneous pelvic surgery showed shorter operative times and less radiation use compared with traditional methods.

Osgood is combining data from advanced imaging techniques, such as ultrasound, computed tomography (CT) and MRI, with traditionally used operative fluoroscopic images, creating virtual three-dimensional imaging and “maps” that can be overlaid onto the patient’s body during surgery.

“We have been working on a project for several years to integrate visual, fluoroscopic and CT data all in one image with what we call a Cam-C. It is a C-arm fluoroscopy unit with a camera mounted on it for augmented reality imaging,” says Osgood. Augmented reality imaging uses virtual images in the surgical field to give more information than the visual image provides. Using this device, the surgeon can easily process the information and perform the surgery in a safer manner with fewer radiographs.

Osgood is collaborating with two Johns Hopkins researchers at the Malone Center for Engineering in Healthcare: Jeff Siewerdsen, professor of biomedical engineering and director of the Carnegie Center for Surgical Innovation, and Nassir Navab, professor of computing and director of the Computer Aided Medical Procedures Lab. Siewerdsen is working to improve C-arm CT and image registration methods to make image quality better and reduce radiation dose during surgery. Navab is working closely with clinical and industrial partners to enhance three-dimensional imaging and augmented-reality technology. His team has introduced camera-augmented mobile C-arm technology and is now focusing on the integration of head-mounted display technology into the clinical workflow.

Osgood believes the greatest potential of these new technologies lies in their teaching capabilities. During surgical simulations, residents who have never been trained in pelvic surgery were able to perform complex techniques with accuracy, using very few radiographs.

Osgood credits a T32 grant sponsored by the National Institutes of Health for bringing together the team of experts. “We involved people at all different levels, international laboratory collaborations, postdoctoral students and very engaged residents,” he says. “We have people engaged in developing projects and exploring ideas—really making medical care better by deep investment in science.”

**Techniques to Improve Knee Replacements**

Elevating the success of knee replacements so that the knee becomes the patient’s “forgotten joint” is the goal of Julius Oni, assistant professor of orthopaedic surgery at Johns Hopkins.

Oni’s clinical practice focuses on innovative ways to improve patient outcomes after hip and knee replacement surgeries. For example, Oni performs total knee replacement using incisions that are approximately half the length of the traditional total knee incision. “The classic, traditional total knee replacement incision is 8 to 12 inches long. In contrast, by using the small-incision technique, my incisions are between 4 and 6 inches,” says Oni.

Positioning the knee in extension during a significant portion of the surgery allows Oni to use a small incision and keep the soft tissue relaxed. Oni avoids prolonged subluxation of the tibia over the femur and minimizes the amount of subluxation of the patella. Besides the aesthetic advantages for patients who want to avoid the typical long anterior incision, Oni finds that this technique can potentially shorten recovery time, reduce the risk of severe pain from the stretch of the soft tissue, and reduce the risk of severe swelling.

Oni is also an advocate for partial knee replacements, which account for approximately 10 percent of the surgeries he performs. Oni says partial knee replacement is an option that other surgeons often dismiss. “In the past, partial knee replacements had a bad reputation as
Charting Data on Treatment, Satisfaction of Patients with Back Problems

Brian Neuman, assistant professor of orthopaedic surgery, has taken a new, innovative approach to The Johns Hopkins Hospital’s primary mission: to improve the health of the community and set the standard of excellence in patient care.

Neuman aims to fine-tune the use of the Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS) for patients who have undergone spine surgery and optimize clinical care guidelines. PROMIS was developed by researchers at multiple academic institutions and the National Institutes of Health. “I am interested in the patient’s experience,” says Neuman. “I want them to understand why we are doing surgery, and I want to meet their expectations during recovery.”

The patient-centric measurement tool uses a computer-adaptive testing questionnaire to measure domains of health. “The goal is to create a spine-specific score using fewer than seven questions,” says Neuman, “which helps to distill the patient feedback and minimize the amount of time needed to implement the survey and analyze the data generated by the patient’s answers.” The end result is a score that can be compared with a national average for the domain being assessed.

“We asked spine patients, ‘What matters the most to you?’ The things they came up with were anxiety, depression, fatigue, pain, physical function, satisfaction with social roles and sleep disturbance,” explains Neuman.

The data generated enable Neuman to create a graph for each domain. “For example, the domain for pain has a baseline that represents the general population with pain. A score that falls below that line indicates that the patient is experiencing more pain than the general population and vice versa for a score that falls above the baseline,” says Neuman.

This use of PROMIS helps patients judge the effectiveness of their treatment by assessing changes in their symptoms over time. The graph of data from the questionnaire becomes a visual tool that validates the patient’s experience by providing a tangible representation of their progress.

“Clinically, the questionnaire we’re developing saves time because patients can fill it out before their follow-up appointments or while they are waiting to be seen,” says Neuman. “The scores are generated immediately, and they open communication about how they are doing, what variables might be affecting their recovery and what kind of changes might need to be made.”

Neuman’s current pilot study focuses on creating baseline scores for patients with back problems. His goal is to create a standardized, spine-specific PROMIS questionnaire that will support clinical decision-making, improve the quality of care and support successful practice management in orthopaedics.

a stopgap measure, something to hold you over until you need a total knee replacement,” Oni says. “That is not necessarily true anymore.”

By retaining all the ligaments and healthy compartments, Oni finds that a partial knee replacement can feel much more like a normal knee than a total knee replacement. “Patients recover a lot faster, and the complication profile is a lot better. There is less risk of infection, deep venous thrombosis, cardiopulmonary complication and blood loss,” says Oni.

Although patients report high satisfaction after knee replacement, some are not entirely pain-free. “There is still a lot of opportunity to improve the execution of knee replacement,” says Oni.

Oni is addressing that issue through his research on “pain mapping.” By studying the location, timing and characteristics of knee pain postoperatively, Oni hopes to identify pain patterns that will become the focus of an anatomical study. Oni plans to examine the areas of interest from the skin down to the intra-articular structures of the knee joint to determine the cause of the pain. The ultimate goal, he says, “is to educate patients better and develop interventions that can alleviate the pain, especially in the acute and subacute postoperative periods.”

Oni’s passion for improving joint replacement surgery stems from his knowledge of the effect it can have on his patients’ lives. One of his patients was
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