Table 2. Porewater

Problem	**Solution**
1 Too many vertical and horizontal lines	remove all vertical lines, keep only 3 horizontal lines
2 Hierarchy of info not clear	use blank spaces and indents for visual separation
3 Repetition in cells of column 3	remove column, add this info to title
4 Repetition in column headings	remove info, add to title
5 Inconsistent number of decimal places	ensure consistency – e.g. Mg valley of river Spree
6 Inconsistent ion charges	check with author – info to add?
7 Not clear what 0 means	check with author – value is zero, OR data not available?
8 Very weak title – too general	Rewrite with elements of column and row headings

Table 2. Comparison of the concentrations of 7 porewater constituents at two drained fens and 5 undrained or weakly drained fens. Data presented are minimum and maximum above, and median below. Ion concentrations are expressed in mg L^{-1}.

		pH	Ca^{2+}	Mg	K	Fe	Mn	NH^{4+}
Well Drained Fens								
	Valley of R. Spree	6.2 – 7.1 6.4	16 – 457 116	2.1 – 37.0 8.9	3.1 – 37.0 13	0 – 332 37	0.1 – 14.0 1.0	0.1 – 25.0 3.3
	Friedl. Grosse Wiese	6.4 – 7.3 6.8	129 – 338 187	16 – 27 20	8.5 – 26.0 12.0	0.0 – 3.5 2.9	0	4.0 – 13.0 6.3
Undrained Or weakly Drained Fens								
	L Triebsch	6.5 – 8.1 6.9	84 – 186 126	8.1 – 15.0 12.0	3.9 – 14.0 5.3	0.1 – 1.7 0.5	0.1 – 12.0 1.1	0.1 – 9.6 0.9
	Valley of R.Locknitz							
	Wierich-wiesen							
	L. Luchsee							
	L Fuchkuhle							